



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/728,038	12/01/2000	Hung Chen	AMAT/3778/CMP/CMP/RKK	2584
44257 7590 09/13/2011 PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP - - APPM/TX 3040 POST OAK BOULEVARD, SUITE 1500 HOUSTON, TX 77056				
EXAMINER ELEY, TIMOTHY V				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3723				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/13/2011		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/728,038	Applicant(s) CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner TIMOTHY V. ELEY	Art Unit 3723	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) TIMOTHY V. ELEY. (3) ____.
- (2) Keith Toboada. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 09 September 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

A call was made to applicant's attorney, Mr. Keith Toboada to inform him that a response to the office action mailed January 3, 2011 has not been timely submitted, so the instant application would be considered abandoned. The examiner was unable to reach Mr. Toboada, so a voicemail was left.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/TIMOTHY V ELEY/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3723